

POLITECNICO **MILANO 1863**

Introduction

Training Very Deep Networks has been made possible thanks to the use of additive non-linear transformations (Skip-connections), such as in Highway [1] and Residual Networks [2] :

$$(k+1) = x(k) + f(x(k), \theta(k)), 1 \leq k \leq K.$$

- Skip-connections solve vanishing gradient problem.
- Output normalization is required to train (e.g BatchNorm).
- The semantics of the forward path are still unclear (iterative estimation).

Note : Very Deep Networks sharing this structure can be considered as **Dynamical Systems**. Indeed, Eq. 1 can be seen as the Forward Euler Discretization of the ODE $\dot{x} = f(x)$.

Idea : Use Control Theory to analyze the behavior of these networks in terms of the stability of their underlined dynamical system. We want the network **to have** a stable behavior such that the propagation of the state do not fluctuate.

Residual Networks are Autonomous Dynamical Systems.

- Input is connected only to the first layer.
- Stability means output \rightarrow 0 for each input : useless for ML applications.

Idea : Use input connections to define Non-Autonomous Systems.

Background : Stability Theory

Asymptotic Stability for Non-Linear Systems

A system is said to be asymptotically stable in \mathcal{X} if there exists a \bar{x} and \mathcal{KL} -function β such that $\forall x(0) \in \mathcal{X}, \ k \geq 0$ $\|x(k)-\bar{x}\| \leq \beta(\|x(0)-\bar{x}\|,k).$

The vector \bar{x} is called a steady state. β have to be strictly decreasing in k with $\lim_{k\to\infty} \beta(\cdot, k) \to 0$.

Input-Output Stability for Non-Linear Systems [3]

A system is said to be input-output stable (IOS) wrt bounded additive input perturbations, w, while $x \in \mathcal{X}$ if there exists a \mathcal{KL} -function β and a \mathcal{K}_{∞} function γ such that $\forall x(0) \in \mathcal{X}$:

$$\|\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{k}) - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\| \leq \beta(\|\mathbf{x}(0) - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|, \mathbf{k}) + \gamma(\|\mathbf{w}\|).$$





NAIS-Net block : Non-Autonomous Residual Layer

NAIS-Net fully-connected block is defined by the following **non-autonomous system** :

$$x(k+1) = x(k) + h\sigma\left(Ax(k) + Bu + b\right),$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the latent state, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ are the hidden state and input transfer matrices, $h \in (0, 1], b \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Activation σ is tanh or ReLU.

If B = 0, x(0) = u, then we have a classic ResNet (autonomous).

The **state-transfer Jacobian** for layer *k* is :

$$J(x(k), u) = \frac{\partial x(k+1)}{\partial x(k)} = I + h \frac{\partial \sigma(\Delta x(k))}{\partial \Delta x(k)} A,$$

residual Jacobian

where $\Delta x(k)$ is the argument of the activation function σ . Same holds for convolutional layers, where A is Toeplitz.

NAIS-Net: Stable Deep Networks from Non-Autonomous Differential Equations

Marco Ciccone * ^{1, 2}, Marco Gallieri * ^{† 2}, Jonathan Masci ², Christian Osendorfer ², Faustino Gomez ²

marco.ciccone@polimi.it, { marco, jonathan, christian, tino } @ nnaisense.com

*: The authors equally contributed. [†]: The author derived the mathematical results. ¹: Dipartimento di Elettronica, Informatica e Bioingegneria - Politecnico di Milano.²: NNAISENSE SA, Lugano, CH.

NAIS-Net : Non-Autonomous Input-Output Stable Architecture



• **NAIS-Net architecture** is a cascade of a time-invariant dynamical systems. Each block is an **iterative process** as the first layer in the *i*-th block, $x_i(1)$, is unrolled K_i times. • The skip connections from the input, u_i , to all layers in block *i* make the process **non-autonomous**.

- Latent space dynamics : each block is modeling the trajectories of the input in different latent space.
- IO-stability and asymptotic stability make the trajectories to be bounded with respect to noise perturbations.
- Each block converges to input-dependent attractors (latent representations).

NAIS-Net block Stability

Take an *arbitrarily* small scalar

$$\underline{\sigma} > 0 \text{ and define the set} :$$

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ (x, u) : \frac{\partial \sigma_i(\Delta x(k))}{\partial \Delta x_i(k)} \ge \underline{\sigma}, \ \forall i \in [1, 2, \dots, n] \right\}.$$
(6)
Lyapunov indirect method)
$$\mathbf{Lyapunov indirect method} :$$

Stability Condition (from L

For any small scalar $\underline{\sigma} > 0$, the *state* Jacobian, J(x, u), satisfies :

$$\bar{\rho} := \sup_{(x,u)\in\mathcal{P}} \rho(J(x,u)) < 1$$

where $\rho(\cdot)$ is the spectral radius.

Theorem 1 (Asymptotic stability for shared weights)

If $\bar{\rho} < 1$, then the NAIS-Net block is Asymptotically Stable :

- For *tanh*, $\bar{x} = -A^{-1}(Bu + b)$.
- For ReLU, \bar{x} is continuous, piecewise affine in x(0) and u. The network is Locally Asymptotically Stable with respect to each \bar{x} .

If $\bar{\rho} < 1$, then the NAIS-Net block is Input-Output Stable :

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\|x(k)-\bar{x}\|\leq\gamma(\|w|$$

The Input-output gain is :

$$\gamma(\|w\|) = h \frac{\|B\|}{(1-\overline{
ho})} \|w\|$$

 L_w is a Lipschitz constant for infinite layers.



(1)

(2)







(5)

(4)



(7)





1D tanh Unstable NAIS-Net (A=-10.0, B=1, b=0)



-4

-2

Stability Implementation





is translated (similarly as in Forward Euler). Proposed reprojection algorithms can be used with any gradient based optimization method to constrain the weights in the stability region.



• NAIS-Net has a better lower generalization gap wrt ResNet, as a consequence of robustness to input perturbations. NAIS-Net can be trained without requiring batch normalization at each step.

Pattern-Dependent Processing Depth



- 2015.
- B. K. Khalil, Nonlinear Systems, 3rd ed. Pearson Education, 2014.





CNN Stability Reprojection Stability Reprojection **Input** : $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{c}}$; $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{X} \times n_{X} \times N_{C} \times N_{C}}$, and Input : $R \in \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{n} \times n}$ $0 < \epsilon < \eta < 1$ for each feature map c do $\widetilde{n} \leq n, \ \delta = 1 - 2\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ $\tilde{\delta}_{c} \leftarrow \max(\min(\delta_{c}, 1-\eta), -1+\eta)$ if $\|\hat{R}^T R\|_F > \delta$ $\tilde{C}^c_{i_{\text{centre}}} \leftarrow -1$ if $\sum_{j \neq i_{\text{centre}}} \left| C_j^c \right| > 1 - \epsilon - \left| \widetilde{\delta}_c \right|$ then $\tilde{R} \leftarrow \sqrt{\delta} \frac{R}{\sqrt{\|R^T R\|_F}}$ $\tilde{C}_{j}^{c} \leftarrow \left(1 - \epsilon - |\tilde{\delta}_{c}|\right) \frac{C_{j}^{c}}{\sum c}$ end if end for **Output** : $\tilde{\delta}$, \tilde{C}

• Each weight matrix A needs to satisfy $\rho\left(I + h \frac{\partial \sigma(\Delta x(k))}{\partial \Delta x(k)} A\right) < 1$. Because of the Identity sum the stability region

Results for Image Classification - CIFAR10-100

NAIS-Net			
ResNet	Model	CIFAR-10	CIFAR-100
		TRAIN/TEST	TRAIN/TEST
	ResNet	$99.86 {\pm} 0.03$	97.42 ± 0.06
		$91.72 {\pm} 0.38$	66.34 ± 0.82
	NAIS-NET1	$99.37 {\pm} 0.08$	86.90 ± 1.47
howman		$91.24{\pm}0.10$	65.00 ± 0.52
	NAIS-Net10	$99.50 {\pm} 0.02$	86.91 ± 0.42
		91.25 ± 0.46	66.07 ± 0.24
1000	CIFAR10-100	accuracy ave	raged over 5 runs.

• Thanks to stability, NAIS-Net can be unrolled for a variable number of steps until convergence. • NAIS-Net adapts its depth systematically according to the characteristics of the data. • Images with similar visual characteristics induce different final depths. • The depth of the network can be considered as an additional degree of freedom of the model.

R. K. Srivastava, K. Greff, and J. Schmidhuber, "Highway networks," arXiv preprint arXiv :1505.00387, May

K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun, "Deep residual learning for image recognition," in *Proceedings of the* IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, Dec 2016, pp. 770–778.